WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

	October 17, 2006		gK	
TO: THRU: FROM:	Internal File D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist	WH DS 10/24/04		
RE:	2006 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, PacifiCorp, Deer Creek Mine, C/015/0018, Task ID #2649			
The Deer Creek Mine monitoring plan is described in Appendix A of Volume 9 of the MRP.				
1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?				
Springs		YES 🔀	NO 🗌	
Rilda	Meter 2 and 3 are the only springs scheduled	l for monitoring during	g the 2nd quarter.	
Streams		YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
Wells		YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
UPDES		YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
In-mine		YES 🔀	NO 🗌	
2. Were all	required parameters reported for each site	e?		
Springs		YES	NO 🛛	
Rilda Meter 2: a broken valve prevented collection of data.				
Streams		YES 🏻	NO 🗌	

Page 2 C/015/0018-WQ06-2 Task ID #2649 October 17, 2006

Wells			YES 🖂	NO 🗌
UPDES	,		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
In-mine	In-mine		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
3. Were	e any irregu	ılarities found in the data?		
t	to calculate t	neters were outside two standard deviations: "nethe standard deviation in the Division's database parameter required by the MRP.		
In-mine	e		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	Main North- Main East	total hardness ($n = 515$).		
Springs			YES 🛚	NO 🗌
I	Rilda Meter	3 May: flow $(n = 80)$		
I	Rilda Meter	3 <u>June</u> : flow $(n = 80)$.		
Streams	s		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
		e site (HCC01), flow reported for the month of riations from the average value.	May was outsi	ide two
I	DCR-04	June: bicarbonate as CaCO3 (n = 7),		
I	DCR-06	June: bicarbonate as CaCO3 (n = 7),		
I	RCLF2	<u>June</u> : water temperature $(n = 8)$,		
I	RCW4	June: total alkalinity ($n = 54$),		
N	MFA	June: field specific conductivity (n = 7), lab sp	ecific conducti	ivity* $(n = 7)$.
UPDES			YES 🖂	NO 🗌

	UPDES 2360	4-001 <u>April</u> : T	TSS (n = 193).			
W	ells			YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌
	CCW-1A	May and June:	depth $(n = 95)$,			
	CCCW-3A	May and June:	depth $(n = 91)$,			
	CCW-3S-U	June: depth (n	= 91).			
4.	On what date do	s the MRP requ	uire a five-year resa	mpling of base	eline v	vater data.
			05, renewal due 02/0° repeated every 5 year			
	springs other	han NEWUSSD	sured at some sites do not be side of sites of side of	vere scheduled	to be	monitored during
5.	Based on your re	view, what furt	ther actions, if any, o	lo you recomn	nend?	•
	The valve at Rilda Meter 2 has been inoperable and prevented scheduled monitoring. NEWUSSD owns this meter and the Permittee cannot repair or replace it without NEWUSSD's cooperation. If NEWUSSD does not replace or repair the valve, the Permittee needs to revise the water-monitoring plan to eliminate this point.				it without valve, the	
			during 2006, at least be analyzed for 5 -yea			
6.		=	submit more inform			
	monitoring requ	rements?		YES		NO 🛚
7.	Follow-up from	ast quarter (1st	t Otr 2006), if necess	arv VFS		NO ⊠

Page 4 C/015/0018-WQ06-2 Task ID #2649 October 17, 2006

8. Did the Mine Operator r	espond adequately to		
		YES 🔀	NO 🗌
an O:\015018.DER\WATER QUALITY\J	DS2649.DOC		